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#### Abstract

We calculate in closed form a family of defnite integrals $I_{n}(b, a ; c), n=$ $0,1,2,3, \ldots$, which arise in the calculation of regularized functional determinants associated with a compact space form $X$ of a rank 1 Riemannian symmetric space. In the special case when $n=0, b=\frac{1}{2}, a=\pi, c=1$, and $X$ is a Riemann surface, the integral $I_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi ; 1\right)$ is known, for example in the context of Polyakov string theory.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Delta_{\Gamma}$ be the projection of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta=y^{2}\left(\partial^{2} / \partial x^{2}+\partial^{2} / \partial y^{2}\right)$ to a compact Riemann surface $X_{\Gamma}$ with fundamental group $\Gamma$ and genus $g \geqslant 2$. Here $X_{\Gamma}$ is represented as the orbit space $\Gamma \backslash \pi^{+}$of the upper $\frac{1}{2}$-plane $\pi^{+}$. For various elliptic differential operators $D$, and psuedo differential operators, it is important in mathematics and physics to calculate the regularized functional determinant det D. For Polyakov string theory, for example, one has the following useful result (see [7,9]).

Theorem (1.1). Let $Z_{\Gamma}$ be the Selberg zeta function attached to $X_{\Gamma}$ and to the trivial representation of $\Gamma$ [8]. Then $\operatorname{det}\left(-\Delta_{\Gamma}+s(s-1)\right)=Z_{\Gamma}(s) \Xi(s)^{2-2 g}$ where the function $\Xi$ is given as follows. For $\Gamma(\cdot)$ the usual gamma function and $\Gamma_{2}(\cdot)$ the Barnes double gamma function (see (2.1))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi(s)=\mathrm{e}^{-f+s(s-1)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2 \pi)^{s} \Gamma_{2}(s)^{2}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}-\log 2 \pi-\frac{\pi}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} \pi t\right)\left(\frac{1}{4}+t^{2}\right)\left[\log \left(\frac{1}{4}+t^{2}\right)-1\right] \mathrm{d} t . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also compare [2-4,6].
The interesting integral in (1.3) can be evaluated in terms of the derivative $\zeta^{\prime}$ of Riemann's zeta function $\zeta$ at the point -1 [9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \pi+2 \zeta^{\prime}(-1) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\zeta^{\prime}(-1)=-(0.165421145)$ the value of $f$ is $-(1.49954)$; compare Fried's remarks in the appendix of [4]. In this paper we consider more generally integrals of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}(b, a ; c)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{2 n}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} a t\right)\left(b^{2}+t^{2}\right)\left[\log \left(b^{2}+t^{2}\right)-c\right] \mathrm{d} t \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b, a>0, c$ is a complex number, and $n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$. In the main result, theorem (4.2), we give a closed form expresssion of the integrals $I_{n}$. One obtains, in particular, another proof of (1.4) by taking $n=0, b=\frac{1}{2}, a=\pi, c=1$. By theorem (4.2) the functions $b \rightarrow I_{n}(b, a ; c)$, originally defined for $b>0$, admit an explicit homomorphic continuation in terms of a new class of functions which we denote by $\Delta_{n}$. The functions $\Delta_{n}$ satisfy a functional equation $b \rightarrow-b$ which for $n=1$ is precisely the classical reflection formula for the double gamma function $\Gamma_{2}$; see theorems (2.16) and (2.18).

As shown in [10], given theorem (4.2) one can formulate and prove a considerably more general version of theorem (1.1) where $X_{\Gamma}$ is replaced by a compact space form of a rank 1 Riemannian symmetric space. This is the general setting in which the integrals $I_{n}$ first arise. $Z_{\Gamma}$ makes sense in this generality and from the functional equation for $\Delta_{n}$ (which implies a functional equation $b \rightarrow-b$ for the integrals $I_{n}$ ) one can derive a new proof of the functional equation of $Z_{\Gamma}$ [10]. Besides the mathematical applications just pointed out, the evaluation of the integrals $I_{n}$, especially in case $n=0$, is of interest for physical reasons in connection with multiloop calculations for fermonic string theory and random surfaces, as D'Hoker and Phong point out in [2]. The determinant in theorem (1.1) and, more generally, the determinant of Laplacians acting on arbitrary tensor and spinor fields arise from quantum fluctuations and Faddeev-Popov gauge fixing [6].

In the route toward proving the main result we first compute the integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}(b, a)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2 n}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} a t\right) \log \left(b^{2}+t^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $b, a>0, n=0,1,2, \ldots$; see theorem (3.19) wherein occurs the term $n \zeta^{\prime}(1-2 n)$ involving the special value of the derivative of the Riemann zeta function. In the Riemann surface case one needs the $J_{n}$ only for $n=0,1$ and hence one only encounters the special value $\zeta^{\prime}(-1)$. Compare equation (1.4).

Although the integrals $I_{n}, J_{n}$ arose initially by way of certain Lie theoretical and mathematical physics considerations, as we have indicated, the present paper requires no knowledge of Lie theory nor of Selberg's zeta function $Z_{\Gamma}$.

## 2. The functions $\Delta_{n}$

We introduce the functions $\Delta_{n}$ which play a key role in this paper. As in the introduction $\Gamma$ will denote the usual gamma function and $\Gamma_{2}$ will denote the Barnes double gamma function [1] defined by
$\frac{1}{\Gamma_{2}(s+1)}=(2 \pi)^{s / 2} \exp \left[-\frac{s}{2}-\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right) s^{2}\right] \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{s}{k}\right)^{k} \exp \left(-s+\frac{s^{2}}{2 k}\right)$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n}-\log n\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is Euler's constant and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers. $1 / \Gamma_{2}$ is an entire function whose zeros are the points $0,-1,-2,-3, \ldots$. For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the field of real numbers, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{r}=\mathbb{C}-\{x+\mathrm{i} y \mid y=0 \text { and } x \leqslant-r\} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U_{r}$ is therefore an open simply connected domain in $\mathbb{C}$. We shall choose the principal branch of the complex $\log$ arithm. Thus $\log \Gamma$ and $\log 1 / \Gamma_{2}$ are holomorphic functions on $U_{0}$. For $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ we define $\phi_{n}$ to be the unique holomorphic primitive of $Z^{n} \log \Gamma(Z+1)$ on $U_{1}$ which vanishes at 0 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}^{\prime}(Z)=Z^{n} \log \Gamma(Z+1) \text { on } U_{1} \quad \phi_{n}(0)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the preceding definitions in place we introduce the well-defined holomorphic functions $\Delta_{n}$ on $U_{\pi / 2}$ : For $Z \in U_{\pi / 2}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{n}(Z) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left[-2 n \pi^{2} Z^{2 n-1}+\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n}}{2^{2 n-3}} Z-\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1}}{2^{2 n-2}}\right] \log \Gamma\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
&+\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1}}{2^{2 n-3}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \log 2 \pi-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
&\left.-\log \frac{1}{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]+\frac{n(2 n-1)}{2^{2 n-3}} \sum_{j=1}^{2 n-2}\binom{2 n-2}{j} 2^{j} \phi_{j}\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

we set $\Delta_{0}=0$. Since $\phi_{n}(0)=0$ in (2.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } n . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that for $n=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{1}(Z)=-\pi^{3} & \log \Gamma\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+2 \pi^{3}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \log 2 \pi\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]+\log \Gamma_{2}\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is meromorphic with simple poles at $Z=0,-1,-2,-3, \ldots$.
The function $\Delta_{n}$ arises as the solution of a simple differential equation that we shall need. Namely

Proposition (2.9). $\quad \Delta_{n}^{\prime}(Z)=-2 n \pi Z^{2 n-1} \psi\left(Z / \pi+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ on $U_{\pi / 2}$ for $n \geqslant 1$.
Proof. For $h^{ \pm}(Z) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} Z / \pi \pm \frac{1}{2}, L \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \log \Gamma, L_{2}=\log 1 / \Gamma_{2}$, direct differentiation of (2.5) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{n}^{\prime}(Z) \stackrel{(i)}{=}\left[-2 n \pi^{2} Z^{2 n-1}+\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n} Z}{2^{2 n-3}}-\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1}}{2^{2 n-2}}\right] \frac{L^{\prime}\left(h^{+}(Z)\right)}{\pi} \\
&+L\left(h^{+}(Z)\right)\left[-2 n \pi^{2}(2 n-1) Z^{2 n-2}+\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n}}{2^{2 n-3}}\right] \\
&+\frac{n(2 n-1)}{2^{2 n-3}} \pi^{2 n+1}\left[\frac{\log 2 \pi}{2 \pi}-\frac{Z}{\pi^{2}}-L_{2}^{\prime}\left(h^{+}(Z)\right) / \pi\right] \\
&+\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1}}{2^{2 n-3}=2^{2 n-2} 2^{-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{2 n-2}\binom{2 n-2}{j} 2^{j} \phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(h^{-}(Z)\right) \frac{1}{\pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the latter term in (i) coincides with the negative of the second term in (i). Namely, by definition (2.4), and the binomial theorem this latter term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1} & \sum_{j=1}^{2 n-2}\binom{2 n-2}{j}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 n-2-j} \frac{h^{-}(Z)^{j}}{\pi} L\left(h^{+}(Z)\right) \\
& =2 n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1} \frac{L\left(h^{+}(Z)\right)}{\pi}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}+h^{-}(Z)\right)^{2 n-2}-\binom{2 n-2}{0}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 n-2}\right] \\
& =2 n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n} L\left(h^{+}(Z)\right)\left[\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}\right)^{2 n-2}-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-2}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(i) therefore simplifies to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{n}^{\prime}(Z) \stackrel{(i i)}{=}\left[-2 n \pi^{2} Z^{2 n-1}+\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n} Z}{2^{2 n-3}}-\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1}}{2^{2 n-2}}\right] \frac{\psi\left(h^{+}(Z)\right)}{\pi} \\
+\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1}}{2^{2 n-3}}\left[\frac{\log 2 \pi}{2 \pi}-\frac{Z}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{1}{\pi} L_{2}^{\prime}\left(h^{+}(Z)\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

by definition (2.8). Next we use that for $B \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 1 / \Gamma_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B^{\prime}(Z+1)}{B(Z+1)}=\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \pi+\frac{1}{2}-Z+Z \psi(Z) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Z \psi(Z)+1=Z \psi(Z+1)$ for $Z \neq-1,-2,-3, \ldots$; cf p 661 , formula (4) of [5]. Note that as $Z \doteq 0$ is a simple pole of $\psi$ with residue $=-1$, the function $Z \rightarrow Z \psi(Z)$ defined to be -1 at $Z=0$ has $Z=0$ as a removable singularity. For $Z \in U_{\pi / 2}, Z / \pi-\frac{1}{2} \neq-1,-2,-3, \ldots$. Also by definition of $L_{2}, L_{2}^{\prime}=B^{\prime} / B$. Thus we may choose $Z$ in (2.10) as $Z / \pi-\frac{1}{2}$ for $Z \in U_{\pi / 2}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\pi} L_{2}^{\prime}\left(h^{+}(Z)\right) & =\frac{\log 2 \pi}{2 \pi}+\frac{1}{2 \pi}-\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{\pi}+\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \psi\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\log 2 \pi}{2 \pi}-\frac{Z}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \psi\left(h^{+}(Z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by which equation (ii) reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{n}^{\prime}(Z)=\left[-2 n \pi Z^{2 n-1}+\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n-1} Z}{2^{2 n-3 . .}}-\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n}}{2^{2 n-2}}\right] \psi\left(h^{+}(Z)\right) \\
&+\frac{n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1}}{2^{2 n-3}}\left[-\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{Z}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \psi\left(h^{+}(Z)\right)\right] \\
&=-2 n \pi Z^{2 n-1} \psi\left(h^{+}(Z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired. From the formulae

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\pi^{1 / 2} \quad \Gamma_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}=A^{-3 / 2} \pi^{-1 / 4} e^{1 / 8} 2^{1 / 24} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A=-\zeta^{\prime}(-1)+\frac{1}{12} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\zeta$ as in section 1 , one derives for $n \geqslant 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{n}(0)= & -\frac{2 n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1}}{2^{2 n}} \log \pi-\frac{7 n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1}}{3} \log 2+\frac{n(2 n-1)}{2^{2 n}} \pi^{2 n+1} \\
& -\frac{12 n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1}}{2^{2 n}} \zeta^{\prime}(-1)+\frac{8 n(2 n-1) \pi^{2 n+1}}{2^{2 n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2 n-2}\binom{2 n-2}{j} 2^{j} \phi_{j}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

With definition (2.3) in mind define

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{1 / 2}^{-} & =\left\{Z \in U_{1 / 2} \mid-Z \in U_{1 / 2}\right\} \\
& =\pi^{+} \cup \pi^{-} \cup\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\pi^{+}, \pi^{-}$denote the upper, lower $\frac{1}{2}$-plane, respectively. Then by proposition (2.9) and the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+Z\right)=\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}-Z\right)+\pi \tan \dot{\pi} Z \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

one obtains
Theorem (2.16). (The functional equation for $\Delta_{n}$.) Let $C_{Z}$ for $Z$ in $U_{1 / 2}^{-}$be any contour in $U_{1 / 2}$ from 0 to $Z$. Then for $n \geqslant 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-\Delta_{n}(\pi Z)+\Delta_{n}(-\pi Z)}{2 \pi^{2 n+1}}=\pi n \int_{C_{z}} s^{2 n-1} \tan \pi s \mathrm{~d} s \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand $\Delta_{n}$ is given explicitly by definition (2.5). Writing out the left-hand side of (2.17) fully and simplifying, we see that theorem (2.16) is equivalent to

Theorem (2.18). For $n \geqslant 1, Z \in U_{1 / 2}^{-}$, and $C_{Z}$ any contour in $U_{1 / 2}^{-}$from 0 to $Z$ (see (2.14))

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \dot{\pi} \cdot \int_{C_{Z}} s^{2 n-1} \tan & \pi s \mathrm{~d} s=\left[n Z^{2 n-1}-\frac{n(2 n-1)}{2^{2 n-2}} Z\right] \log \Gamma\left(Z+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-Z+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{n}{2} \frac{(2 n-1)}{2^{2 n-2}} \log \frac{\Gamma\left(Z+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-Z+\frac{1}{2}\right)}-\frac{n(2 n-1)}{2^{2 n-2}} Z \log 2 \pi \\
& +\frac{n(2 n-1)}{2^{2 n-2}} \log \frac{\Gamma_{2}\left(-Z+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_{2}\left(Z+\frac{1}{2}\right)}+\frac{n(2 n-1)}{2^{2 n-2}} \\
& \times \sum_{j=1}^{2 n-2}\binom{2 n-2}{j} 2^{j}\left[\phi_{j}\left(-Z-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\phi_{j}\left(Z-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, for $n=1$ we obtain the reflection formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi \int_{C_{Z}} s \tan \pi s \mathrm{~d} s=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\Gamma\left(Z+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-Z+\frac{1}{2}\right)}-Z \log \pi+\log \frac{\Gamma_{2}\left(Z+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_{2}\left(-Z+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in theorem (2.18)

$$
\Gamma\left(Z+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-Z+\frac{1}{2}\right)=\pi /(\cos \pi Z)
$$

## 3. The integrals $J_{n}(b, a)$

The purpose of this section is to compute the integrals $J_{n}(b, a)$ defined in (1.6). These integrals completely determine the integrals $I_{n}(b, a ; c)$ of (1.5).
$B_{n}$ will denote the $n$th Bernoulli number:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Z}{\mathrm{e}^{Z}-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n} Z^{n}}{n!} \quad . \quad \text { for }|Z|<2 \pi \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{n}(a) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 n} \operatorname{sech}^{2} a x \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\frac{2^{2 n-2}}{2^{2 n}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{\pi}\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{2 n+1} B_{2 n} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

(cf [5], p 353) and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(a)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2 n}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} a t\right) \log \left(1+t^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a>0, n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$ Using

$$
\log \left(b^{2}+x^{2}\right)=2 \log b+\log \left(1+(x / b)^{2}\right)
$$

and the change of variables $t=x / b$ for $b>0$ we see that in view of (3.2), $J_{n}(b, a)$ is determined by the function $f_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}(b, a)=2(\log b) I_{n}(a)+b^{2 n+1} f_{n}(a b) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the change of variables $a t=x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(a)=\frac{1}{a^{2 n+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 n}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} x\right) \log \left(1+\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral in (3.5) clearly converges uniformly in $a \geqslant 1$. We may therefore differentiate under the integral sign in (3.5) to obtain (for $a \geqslant 1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(a) \frac{-2}{a^{2 n+2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n} x^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} x \mathrm{~d} x}{a^{2}+x^{2}}-\frac{(2 n+1)}{a} f_{n}(a)=\frac{-2}{a^{2 n+2}} I_{n}(1)+g_{n}(a)-\frac{(2 n+1)}{a} f_{n}(a) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(a)=\frac{\text { def }}{=} \frac{2}{a^{2 n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n} \operatorname{sech}^{2} x \mathrm{~d} x}{a^{2}+x^{2}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we can write (3.5) alternatively as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(a)=\frac{1}{a^{2 n+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 n}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} x\right) \log \left(a^{2}+x^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{2 \log a}{a^{2 n+1}} I_{n}(1) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(using $\log \left(1+x^{2} / a^{2}\right)=\log \left(a^{2}+x^{2}\right)-2 \log a$ ) where the integral in (3.8) converges uniformly in $0<a \leqslant 1$. Differentiating (3.8) under the integral sign we therefore also obtain (3.6) for $0<a \leqslant 1$. That is, (3.6) holds for $a>0$, and as a first-order linear differential equation it has a standard trivial solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(a)=\frac{1}{a^{2 n+1}}\left[\int a^{2 n+1}\left(g_{n}(a)-\frac{2}{a^{2 n+2}} I_{n}(1)\right) \mathrm{d} a+c_{n}^{\prime}\right] \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $c_{n}^{\prime}=$ constant. The integration in (3.9) can be carried out using proposition (2.9) and the following lemma.

Lemma (3.10). For $a>0, n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2 n} \operatorname{sech}^{2} t}{a^{2}+t^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t & =\frac{(-1)^{n} a^{2 n-t}}{\pi} \zeta\left(2, \frac{a}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{n+1} 2 a^{2(n-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\pi^{2 j}}{a^{2 j} 2^{2 j}}\left(\frac{2-2^{2 j}}{2}\right) B_{2 j} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta$ is the Hurwitz zeta function:
$\zeta(s, \propto) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+\alpha)^{s}} . \quad$ for Res $>1 \quad \alpha \neq-1,-2,-3, \ldots$
Since $t^{2 n} /\left(b^{2}+t^{2}\right)=t^{2(n-1)}-b^{2} t^{2(n-1)} /\left(b^{2}+t^{2}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 1$, lemma (3.10) follows by induction, using (3.2), once one knows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^{2} t \mathrm{~d} t}{b^{2}+t^{2}}=\frac{1}{\pi b} \zeta\left(2, \frac{b}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the proof of which will be remarked on later.
By definition (3.7) and equation (3.1 i) one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\int a^{2 n+1} g_{n}(a) \mathrm{d} a & =\int \frac{2(-1)^{n}}{\pi} a^{2 n} \zeta\left(2, \frac{a}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathrm{d} a \\
& +4(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\pi^{2 j}}{2^{2 j}}\left(\frac{2-2^{2 J}}{2}\right) B_{2 j} \frac{a^{2 n-2 j}}{2 n-2 j} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

But one knows that $\psi$ in (2.8) and $\zeta$ in (3.12) are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(s)=\zeta(2, s) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration by parts therefore yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int a^{2 n} \zeta\left(2, \frac{a}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathrm{d} a=a^{2 n} \pi \psi\left(\frac{a}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\int 2 \pi n a^{2 n-1} \psi\left(\frac{a}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathrm{d} a \\
=a^{2 n} \pi \psi\left(\frac{a}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\Delta_{n}(a)+c_{n}^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the latter equality follows by proposition (2.9)! $c_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ is a constant of integration. From equations (3.9), (3.14) and (3.16)

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{n}(a)=\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{a} \psi\left(\frac{a}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{\pi a^{2 n+1}} \Delta_{n}(a)+\frac{4(-1)^{n+1}}{a^{2 n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\pi^{2 j}}{2^{2 j}}\left(\frac{2-2^{2 j}}{2}\right) \\
\times B_{2 j} \frac{a^{2 n-2 j}}{2 n-2 j}+\frac{2(-1)^{n} c_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{\pi a^{2 n+1}}+\frac{c_{n}^{\prime}}{a^{2 n+1}}-\frac{2 I_{n}(1) \log a}{a^{2 n+1}} . \tag{3.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

That is, by (3.2) we get

Theorem (3.18). The integral $f_{n}(a)$ in (3.3) is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{n}(a)=\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{a} \psi\left(\frac{a}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{\pi a^{2 n+1}} \Delta_{n}(a)+\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{a^{2 n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\pi^{2 j}\left(2-2^{2 j}\right)}{2^{2 j} a^{2 j}(n-j)} B_{2 j} \\
+\frac{2(-1)^{n+1} \pi^{2 n}}{2^{2 n} a^{2 n+1}}\left(2-2^{2 n}\right) B_{2 n} \log a+\frac{c_{n}}{a^{2 n+1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $c_{n}=$ constant. We now state
Theorem (3.19). The integral $J_{n}(b, a)$ in (1.6) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{n}(b, a)=\frac{b^{2 n}}{a}(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\pi^{2 j}\left(2-2^{2 j}\right)}{(n-j) 2^{2 j} a^{2 j} b^{2 j}} B_{2 j}+\frac{c_{n}}{a^{2 n+1}}+\frac{2(-1)^{n+1}\left(2-2^{2 n}\right)}{2^{2 n} a^{2 n+1}} \pi^{2 n} B_{2 n} \log a \\
&+\frac{2(-1)^{n} b^{2 n}}{a} \psi\left(\frac{a b}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{\Delta_{n}(a b) 2(-1)^{n}}{\pi a^{2 n+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(see (2.5), (2.8), (3.11)) where $c_{n}$ is a constant given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{c_{n}}{\pi^{2 n+1}}+\frac{2(-1)^{n+1}\left(2-2^{2 n}\right)}{2^{2 n} \pi} B_{2 n} \log \pi+\frac{2(-1)^{n} \Delta_{n}(0)}{\pi^{2 n+2}} \\
& \quad=\frac{4\left(2^{2 n}-2\right)}{2^{2 n} \pi}(-1)^{n+1}\left[n \zeta^{\prime}(1-2 n)+\frac{B_{2 n}}{4 n}\right]+\frac{4(-1)^{n+i}(\log 2)}{2^{2 n} \pi} B_{2 n} \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n \geqslant 1$, where $\Delta_{n}(0)$ is given by (2.13);

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}=2 \log \pi \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to computation of the constants $c_{n}$, theorem (3.19) follows from equations (3.2), (3.4) and theorem (3.18). As a preliminary step towards finding the $c_{n}$, choose $a=\pi$ and $b=1 / m$ in the theorem (3.19), $m=1,2,3, \ldots$, and let $m \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} & x^{2 n}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} \pi x\right) \log \left(\frac{1}{m^{2}}+x^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} J_{n}\left(\frac{1}{m}, \pi\right) \\
& =\frac{c_{n}}{\pi^{2 n+1}}+\frac{2(-1)^{n+1}\left(2-2^{2 n}\right)}{2^{2 n} \pi} B_{2 n} \log \pi+\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{\pi^{2 n+2}} \Delta_{n}(0) \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n \geqslant 1$, where one checks that the limit on the left-hand side of (3.22) can be taken under the integral sign. That is, by dominated convergence
$2 \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 n}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} \pi x\right) \log x \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{c_{n}}{\pi^{2 n+1}}+\frac{2(-1)^{n+1}\left(2-2^{2 n}\right)}{2^{2 n} \pi} B_{2 n} \log \pi+\frac{2(-1)^{n} \Delta_{n}(0)}{\pi^{2 n+2}}$
for $n \geqslant 1$, with $\Delta_{n}(0)$ given by (2.13).

Consider therefore the integral in (3.23). The integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{s-1} \operatorname{sech}^{2} a x \mathrm{~d} x$, for $a>0$, converges uniformly on compact subsets of Res $>0$. It thus defines a holomorphic function of $s$ which may be differentiated under integral sign on Res $>0$. A similar statement follows for $\int_{0}^{1}=\int_{1}^{\infty}$ under the transformation $x=1 / t$. That is, $s \rightarrow I(s) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{s-1} \operatorname{sech}^{2} a x \mathrm{~d} x$ is holomorphic on Res $>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{s-1} \operatorname{sech}^{2} a x d x=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{s-1}(\log x) \operatorname{sech}^{2} a x d x \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

on Res $>0$ for $a>0$. On the other hand, by p 352 of [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(s)=\frac{4}{(2 a)^{s}}\left(1-2^{2-s}\right) \Gamma(s) \zeta(s-1) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for Res $>0, s \neq 2$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(2)=\left(1 / a^{2}\right) \log 2 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Carrying out the differentiation in (3.24) one obtains

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{*-1}(\log x) \operatorname{sech}^{2} a x \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{4}{(2 a)^{s}}\left(1-2^{2-s}\right)\left[\Gamma(s) \zeta^{\prime}(s-1)+\zeta(s-1) \Gamma^{\prime}(s)\right]+\Gamma(s) \zeta(s-1) \\
\times\left[\frac{4}{(2 a)^{s}} 2^{2-s} \log 2-\frac{\left(1-2^{2-s}\right) 4}{(2 a)^{s}} \log 2 a\right] \tag{3.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

for Res $>0, s \neq 2, a>0$. In (3.27) choose $s=1+2 n, n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$, and apply the special value formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(2 n)=2^{2 n-1} \pi^{2 n}(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n} /(2 n)! \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.8), $\Gamma^{\prime}(1+2 n)=\Gamma(1+2 n) \psi(1+2 n)=(2 n)!\psi(1+2 n)$. That is, (3.27) implies
Corollary (3.29). For $a>0, n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 n}(\log x) \operatorname{sech}^{2} a x \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{4\left(1-2^{1-2 n}\right)}{(2 a)^{1+2 n}}\left[(2 n)!\zeta^{\prime}(2 n)+2^{2 n-1} \pi^{2 n}(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n} \psi(1+2 n)\right] \\
+2^{2 n-1} \pi^{2 n}(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n}\left[\frac{4.2^{1-2 n}}{(2 a)^{1+2 n}} \log 2-\frac{\left(1-2^{1-2 n}\right) 4 \log 2 a}{(2 a)^{1^{1+2 n}}}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

It is useful to rewrite corollary (3.29) by differentiating the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi^{s / 2} \zeta(1-s)}{\Gamma(s / 2)}=\frac{\pi^{(1-s) / 2} \zeta(s)}{\Gamma((1-s) / 2)} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\zeta$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(1-s) \pi^{s / 2}}{\Gamma(s / 2)}=\frac{\pi^{(1-s) / 2}\left[\zeta^{\prime}(s)-\zeta(s) \log \pi\right]+\pi^{(1-s) / 2} \zeta(s)[\psi(s / 2)+\psi((1-s) / 2)]}{\Gamma((1-s) / 2)} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, by taking $s=2 n$ in (3.31) in conjunction with (3.28): For $n \geqslant 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(1-2 n) \pi^{n}}{(n-1)!} & =\frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}-n} \zeta^{\prime}(2 n)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-n\right)}-\frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}+\pi}(\log \pi) 2^{2 n-1}(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-n\right)(2 n!)} \\
+ & \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}+n} 2^{2 n-2}(-1)^{n+1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-n\right)(2 n)!} B_{2 n}\left[\psi(n)+\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}-n\right)\right] \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

As

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-n\right)=\frac{(-1)^{n} 2^{2 n} n!\sqrt{\pi}}{(2 n)!} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(1+2 n)=\psi(2 n)+\frac{1}{2 n}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\psi(n)+\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}-n\right)\right]+\log 2+\frac{1}{2 n} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{\prime}(2 n)(2 n)!= & (-1)^{n+1} \zeta^{\prime}(1-2 n) \pi^{2 n} 2^{2 n} n+(-1)^{n+1} \pi^{2 n}(\log 2 \pi) 2^{2 n-1} B_{2 n} \\
& +(-1)^{n} \pi^{2 n} 2^{2 n-1} B_{2 n}\left[\psi(1+2 n)-\frac{1}{2 n}\right] \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that an alternative statement of corollary (3.29) is
Corollary (3.36). For $a>0, n=1,2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 n}(\log x) \operatorname{sech}^{2} a x \mathrm{~d} x \\
&=2 \frac{\left(2^{2 n}-2\right)}{2^{2 n}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{a}\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{2 n}\left[\zeta^{\prime}(1-2 n) n+\frac{B_{2 n}}{4 n}+\frac{B_{2 n}}{2} \log \frac{\pi}{a}\right] \\
&+\frac{2(\log 2)}{2^{2 n}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{a}\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{2 n} B_{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The logarithmic derivative $\psi$ is thus eliminated in this version of corollary (3.29). The value of $c_{n}$ for $n \geqslant 1$ claimed in equation (3.20) now follows from equation (3.23) by taking $a=\pi$ in corollary (3.36).
$c_{0}$ is computed similarly but more simply. Namely in place of equation (3.23) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} \pi x\right) \log x \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{c_{0}}{\pi}-\frac{2}{\pi} \log \pi+\frac{2}{\pi} \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\Delta_{0}(x)=0$ for $x>0$ by (2.5). Thus in constrast to (3.23), the logarithmic derivative term in $\psi$ survives (from theorem (3.19) in case $n=0$. By corollary (3.29) the right-hand side of $(3.37)$ is $2(\gamma-2 \log 2) / \pi$, since $\zeta^{\prime}(0)=-\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \pi, \psi(1)=-\gamma$ (for $\gamma$ in (2.2)). Also as $\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\gamma-2 \log 2$, equation (3.21) follows.

One piece of unfinished business remains concerning the proof of theorem (3.19). Namely we must check equation (3.13). Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R} \int_{R}\left|\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} t\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t y} \mathrm{e}^{-b|y|}\right| \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{R} \operatorname{sech}^{2} t \mathrm{~d} t \int_{R} \mathrm{e}^{-b|y|} \mathrm{d} y<\infty \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} y$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $R \times R$. By Fubini's theorem we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R} \int_{R}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} t\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t y} \mathrm{e}^{-b|y|} \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{R} \int_{R}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} t\right) \mathrm{e}^{1 t y} \mathrm{e}^{-b|y|} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} t \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, if $\hat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of an $L^{1}$-function $f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(y) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{R} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it} y} f(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3.39) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R} \mathrm{e}^{-b|y|} \hat{f}(y) \mathrm{d} y=\int_{R} f(t) \hat{h}(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f(t)=\operatorname{sech}^{2} t, h(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-b|t|}$. But $\hat{h}(t)=2 b /\left(t^{2}+b^{2}\right)$ and $\hat{f}(y)=\pi y / \sin h(\pi y / 2)$. (3.41) therefore reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^{2} t}{t^{2}+b^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t & =\frac{1}{2 b} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-b|y|} \frac{\pi y}{\sin h \pi y / 2} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& =\frac{2}{\pi b} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-(2 b / \pi) x} \frac{x}{\sin h x} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{3.42}
\end{align*}
$$

By formula (3.552), no 1, p 361 of [5] the second integral on the right-hand side of (3.42) has the value $(1 / \pi b) \zeta\left(2,(b / \pi)+\frac{1}{2}\right)$, which proves (3.13). We note that equation (3.41) also follows by the general Plancherel formula for $R$.

## 4. The integrals $I_{n}(b, a ; c)$

By definitions (1.5), (1.6) and (3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}(b, a ; c)=2 b^{2} J_{n}(b, a)+2 I_{n+1}(b, a)-2 c b^{2} I_{n}(a)-2 c I_{n+1}(a) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By theorem (3.19), equation (3.2), and some algebraic manipulation we therefore derive the following main theorem.

Theorem (4.2). The integral $I_{n}(b, a ; c)$ in (1.5) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi I_{n}(b, a ; c)= & b^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{2 n-1} \frac{\left(2-2^{2 n}\right)}{2^{2 n-2}(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n} \log a} \\
& -\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{2 n+3} \frac{\left(2-2^{2 n+2}\right)}{2^{2 n}}(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n+2} \log a+\frac{2 \pi}{a} b^{2 n+2}(-1)^{n+1} \\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\pi^{2 j}\left(2-2^{2 j}\right) B_{2 j}}{(n-1)(n-j+1) 2^{2 j} a^{2 j} b^{2 j}}+\frac{2 \pi b^{2} c_{n}}{a^{2 n+1}}+\frac{2 \pi c_{n+1}}{a^{2 n+3}}+\frac{4(-1)^{n} b^{2}}{a^{2 n+1}} \Delta_{n}(a b) \\
& -\frac{4(-1)^{n} \Delta_{n+1}(a b)}{a^{2 n+3}}+\frac{2 c\left(2^{2 n+2}-2\right)}{2^{2 n+2}}(-1)^{n+1}\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{2 n+3} B_{2 n+2} \\
& +2 b^{2}(-1)^{n+1}\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{2 n+1} \frac{\left(2-2^{2 n}\right)}{2^{2 n}} B_{2 n}(c-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where, as before, $\Delta_{n}, B_{n}$ and $c_{n}$ are given by (2.5), (3.1) and (3.20) respectively. In particular $b \rightarrow I_{n}(b, a, ; c)$ admits an explicit holomorphic continuation to the domain $U_{\pi / 2 a}$ (see definition (2.3))

For $n=1$ equation (2.13) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{1}(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\pi^{3} \log \pi\right)-\frac{7}{12} \pi^{3} \log 2+\frac{1}{4} \pi^{3}-3 \pi^{3} \zeta^{\prime}(-1) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.20) and (4.3) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=-\pi^{2}\left[\frac{5}{6} \log \pi+\log 2-\frac{7}{12}+4 \zeta^{\prime}(-1)\right] \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

using $B_{2}=\frac{1}{6}$. As $B_{0}=1$ and $c_{0}=2 \log \pi$ by (3.21), one has from (2.7) and (4.4) the following very special case of theorem (4.2). (taking $n=0$ there).

Corollary (4.5). For $a, b,>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi I_{0}(b, a, ; 1) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} a t\right)\left(b^{2}+t^{2}\right)\left\{\log \left(b^{2}+t^{2}\right)-1\right\} \mathrm{d} t \\
&= \frac{4 \pi b^{2}}{a} \log \frac{\pi}{a}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{3} \log a-\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{3}+4\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{3} \log \Gamma\left(\frac{a b}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
&-4\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{3}\left(\frac{a b}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \log 2 \pi+4\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{3}\left(\frac{a b}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{a b}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
&-8\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{3} \log \Gamma_{2}\left(\frac{a b}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)-2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{3}\left[4 \zeta^{\prime}(-1)+\frac{5}{6} \log \pi+\log 2-\frac{7}{12}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\Gamma_{2}$ defined by (2.1).
Note that since $\Gamma(1)=\Gamma_{2}(1)=1$ we obtain a proof of (1.4) by specializing $b=\frac{1}{2}, a=\pi$ in corollary (4.5).

As in theorem (4.2) the functions $b \rightarrow J_{n}(b, a)$, defined initially for $b>0$, admit an explicit holomorphic continuation via theorem (3.19).
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